



Order Filed on March 25, 2024
by Clerk,
U.S. Bankruptcy Court
District of New Jersey

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Caption in Compliance with D.N.J. LBR 9004-1(b)

In Re:

Anthony Vincent Wolke,

Debtor.

Case No.: 23-21502

Chapter: 11


Hearing Date: N/A

Judge: Stacey L. Meisel

ORDER DENYING APPLICATION FOR RETENTION OF PROFESSIONAL

The relief set forth on the following pages, numbered two (2) through 2 is
ORDERED.

DATED: March 25, 2024


Honorable Stacey L. Meisel
United States Bankruptcy Judge

Debtor: Anthony Vincent Wolke
Case No.: 23-21502
Caption of Order: Order Denying Application for Retention of Professional
Page: 2 of 2

THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court on a (“ **Application for Retention of Professional**”) by Anthony Vincent Wolke; this Court finds:

WHEREAS the Application for Retention of Professional is deficient for the following reason(s):

- ☐ **Failure to use required Local Forms.** *See* D.N.J. LBR 9009-1.
- ☐ **Failure to follow the requirements of Local Rule 9013-1, specifically:**
 - ☐ **Failure to submit a notice of motion stating the date, time, and place of the hearing.** *See* D.N.J. LBR 9013-1(a)(1).
 - ☐ **Failure to submit a certification containing the facts supporting the relief requested in compliance with D.N.J. LBR 7007-1.** *See* D.N.J. LBR 9013-1(a)(2).
 - ☐ **Failure to submit a memorandum of law stating the legal basis for the relief requested, or a statement why a memorandum of law is unnecessary.** *See* D.N.J. LBR 9013-1(a)(3).
 - ☐ **Failure to submit a proposed form of order.** *See* D.N.J. LBR 9013-4.
 - ☐ **Failure to file a certification of service.** *See* D.N.J. LBR 9013-1(a)(5) and Local Form.
- ☐ **Failure to effectuate proper service.** *See* D.N.J. LBR 5005-1, Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002, 9014, Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7004, and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9010 as well as the Register of Governmental Units available on the Court’s website.
- ☒ **Other:** Applicant improperly checked the box for 11 U.S.C. § 327(e) retention on the *Application for Retention of Professional* (the “Application”). Section 327(e) only applies for the retention of special counsel. The proposed retention is for an accountant. Counsel failed to correct the Application despite the Clerk’s Office providing a courtesy call regarding the issue.

It is hereby

Debtor: Anthony Vincent Wolke
Case No.: 23-21502
Caption of Order: Order Denying Application for Retention of Professional
Page: 3 of 2

ORDERED that the Application for Retention of Professional is denied, without prejudice.